A comment on the arguments about the reliability and convergence of chaotic simulations

Shijun Liao [a,b]

[a] Key Laboratory of Education-Ministry in Scientific Computing, Shanghai 200240, China

[b] School of Naval Architecture, Ocean and Civil Engineering
Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China

arXiv:1401.0256v1 [nlin.CD] 1 Jan 2014

Abstract *Yao and Hughes commented (Tellus-A, 60: 803 – 805, 2008) that "all chaotic responses are simply numerical noise and have nothing to do with the solutions of differential equations". However, using 1200 CPUs of the National Supercomputer TH-A1 and a parallel integral algorithm of the so-called "Clean Numerical Simulation" (CNS) based on the 3500th-order Taylor expansion and data in 4180-digit multiple precision, one can gain reliable, convergent chaotic solution of Lorenz equation in a rather long interval [0,10000]. This supports Lorenz's optimistic viewpoint (Tellus-A, 60: 806 – 807, 2008): "numerical approximations can converge to a chaotic true solution throughout any finite range of time".*

Using a digit computer, Lorenz [1] found the famous "butterfly-effect" of "deterministic non-periodic" solution of three-coupled ordinary differential equations, called today the Lorenz equation: the so-called chaotic solutions are rather sensitive to initial conditions. This work was a milestone in the field of nonlinear dynamics. In 1999, Tucker [2, 3] further proved that the Lorenz equation supports a strange attractor. Tucker's work is a great breakthrough that provides a positive answer to the Smale's 14th Problem [4].

However, it was *practically* difficult to gain a reliable numerical simulation of chaotic dynamic systems in any a given finite range of time, mainly because Lorenz [5, 6] further found that chaotic solutions are sensitive not only to initial conditions but also to numerical algorithms: different numerical algorithms and different time-steps may lead to completely different numerical simulations of chaos. For example, chaotic numerical simulations of Lorenz equation given by different traditional procedures were often repeatable only in a interval of time less than 30 Lorenz time unit (LTU). So, "computed" dynamic behaviors observed for a finite time step in some non-linear discrete-time difference equations sometimes might have nothing to do with the "exact" solution of the original continuous-time differential equations at all, as confirmed by some other researchers [7, 8]. This numerical phenomenon lead to intense arguments [9, 10] about reliability of numerical simulations of chaotic dynamic systems. Especially, Yao and Hughes [9] believed that "all chaotic responses are simply numerical noise and have nothing to do with the solutions of differential equations". On the other side, using double precision data and a few examples based on the 15th-order Taylor-series procedure [11,12] with decreasing time-step, Lorenz [10] was optimistic and believed that "numerical approximations can converge to a chaotic true solution throughout any finite range of time, although, if the range is large, confirming the convergence can be utterly impractical."

Currently, using the arbitrary-order Taylor series method (TSM) [11, 12] and data in arbitrary-precision, Liao [13–15] proposed the so-called "Clean Numerical Simulation" (CNS) to gain convergent, reliable chaotic results in a long but finite interval of time $[0, T]$. Let $s(M, N)$ denote a numerical simulation of a nonlinear dynamic system given by the CNS, where M denotes the order of TSM and N the number of digit precision of data, respectively. Here, the "convergence" means

that, for a given interval $[0, T]$ with a properly chosen time-step Δt, there exist a critical order M^* of the TSM and a critical integer N^* for digit precision such that all numerical simulations $s(M, N)$ given by the CNS are the same, i.e. with negligible differences, as long as $M > M^*$ and $N > N^*$. This is mainly because truncation error and round-off error can be reduced to a required, rather small level as long as M for the order of TSM and N for the digit precision are large enough. Unlike traditional numerical algorithms for chaotic systems, the CNS searches for the critical order M^* of the TSM and the critical N^* of digit-precision for a given interval $[0, T]$ and a chosen time step Δt.

In 2009, using the CNS with the 400th-order Taylor series method (TSM) and data in 800-digit precision (by means of the computer algebra Mathematica with the time step $\Delta t = 0.01$), Liao [13] gained, for the first time, a reliable chaotic solution of Lorenz equation in a long interval [0,1000] of time. As reported by Liao [13], for a given interval $[0, T]$, one can gain reliable, convergent chaotic simulations of Lorenz equation by means of the CNS with the Mth-order TMS and data in N-digit precision, where $M > M^* \approx T/3$ and $N > N^* \approx 2T/5$ in the case of $\Delta t = 0.01$. Using the multiple precision (MP) library [16] and parallel computation, Wang et al. [17] confirmed the reliability of Liao's chaotic solution in [0,1000] and gained a reliable chaotic result of Lorenz equation in [0,2500] by means of the CNS based on the 1000th-order TSM and data in 2100-digit precision (with $\Delta t = 0.01$). Currently, Using 1200 CPUs of the National Supercomputer TH-A1 and a parallel integral algorithm of the CNS based on the 3500th-order Taylor expansion and data in the 4180-digit multiple precision, Liao and Wang [18] obtained a reliable chaotic solution of Lorenz equation in a rather long interval $0 \leq t \leq 10000$: its reliability and convergence was further confirmed by means of the CNS using the 3600th-order TSM and the data in 4515-digit multiple precision. To the best of my knowledge, such a convergent, reliable chaotic solution of Lorenz equation in such a long interval has never been reported, which provides us a numerical benchmark of reliable chaotic solution of dynamic systems.

Currently, Kehlet and Logg [19] gained a reliable chaotic solution of Lorenz equation on the time interval [0, 1000] using the 200-order *finite element method* and data in 400-digits precision. Its reliability was confirmed by means of the CNS with the 400th-order TSM and data in 800-digit precision. Note that the CNS is a kind of *finite difference method*. Therefore, reliable, convergent chaotic results of Lorenz equation in a long interval [0,1000] can be indeed obtained by the two completely *different* numerical approaches! All of these support Lorenz's optimistic viewpoints:"numerical approximations can converge to a chaotic true solution throughout any finite range of time".

Thus, the "Smale's 14th Problem" has a prefect answer: the strange attractor of Lorenz equation not only exists, but also can be calculated accurately!

References

[1] Lorenz, E.N.: "Deterministic non-periodic flow". *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, **20**: 130 – 141 (1963).

[2] Tucker, W.: *The Lorenz Attractor Exists*. PhD dissertation, Uppsala University, 1999.

[3] Tucker, W.: "A Rigorous ODE Solver and Smale's 14th Problem". *Foundations of Computational Mathematics* , **2**: 53 – 117 2002).

[4] Smale, S.: "Mathematical problems for the next century", *Math. Intelligencer*, **20** (2): 7 – 15 (1998).

[5] E.N. Lorenz, "Computational chaos - a prelude to computational instability". *Physica D*, **15**: 299 – 317 (1989).

[6] Lorenz, E.N.: "Computational periodicity as observed in a simple system". *Tellus-A*, **58**: 549 – 59 (2006).

[7] J.P. Li, Q.G. Zeng and J.F. Chou, "Computational uncertainty principle in nonlinear ordinary differential equations (II): theoretical analysis", *Science in China (Series E)*, **44**: 55-74 (2001).

[8] J. Teixeira, C.A. Reynolds, and K. Judd, "Time Step Sensitivity of Nonlinear Atmospheric Models: Numerical Convergence, Truncation Error Growth, and Ensemble Design", *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **64**: 175 – 188 (2007).

[9] Yao, L.S. and Hughes, D.: "Comment on "Computational periodicity as observed in a simple system", by Edward N. Lorenz (2006a)". *Tellus-A*, **60**: 803 – 805 (2008).

[10] Lorenz, E.N.: "Reply to comment by L.-S. Yao and D. Hughes". *Tellus-A*, **60**: 806 – 807 (2008).

[11] G.F. Corliss and Y.F. Chang, "Solving ordinary differential equations using Taylor series", *ACM Trans. Math. Software*, **8**, 114 –144 (1982).

[12] R. Barrio, F. Blesa, and M. Lara, "VSVO formulation of the Taylor method for the numerical solution of ODEs", *Computers and Math. with Applications*, **50**, 93 –111 (2005).

[13] Liao, S.J.: "On the reliability of computed chaotic solutions of non-linear differential equations". *Tellus-A*, **61**: 550 – 564 (2009).

[14] Liao, S.J.: "On the numerical simulation of propagation of micro-level uncertainty for chaotic dynamic systems". *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, **47**: 1 – 12 (2013).

[15] Liao, S.J.: "Physical limit of prediction for chaotic motion of three-body problem". *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simulat.*, **19**: 601 – 616 (2014).

[16] Oyanarte, P.: "MP – a multiple precision package". *Comput. Phys. Commun*, **59**: 345 – 358 (1990).

[17] Wang, P.F., Li, J.P. and Li, Q.: "Computational uncertainty and the application of a high-performance multiple precision scheme to obtaining the correct reference solution of Lorenz equations", *Numerical Algorithms*, **59**: 147 – 159 (2012).

[18] Liao, S.J. and Wang, P.F.: "On the reliable long-term simulation of chaos of Lorenz equation in the interval [0,10000]", *Science China- Physics, Mechanics & Astronomy*, accepted (see also arXiv:1305.4222).

[19] Kehlet, B. and Logg, A.: "Quantifying the computability of the Lorenz System", arXiv: 1306.2782.